

EVALUATION OF INTERCEPT BARRIER MATERIAL FOR MILITARY PACKAGING

8th Annual Government/Industry Shelf-life Symposium, October 29-31, 2002 Kansas City, Missouri.

Presented by:
Samir K. Sarkar
TACOM-ARDEC, Packaging & Engineering
Support Division, Picatinny Arsenal
N.J. 07806-5000.





OBJECTIVE:

- Identify, Test, and Evaluate Commercially Available Barrier Materials to:
 - meet military packaging requirements,
 - provide longer shelf-life, and yet be cost-effective and environmentallyfriendly







PACKAGING

SUMMARY:

Identified Several Barrier Materials/Systems:

- Flexible barrier material (INTERCEPT developed by Lucent Technologies).
 Currently fielded MIL-PRF-131, and VCIcoated materials were used for comparison in tests
- Rigid barrier (plastic-desiccant, Interceptcoated corrugated plastic) systems



EVALUATION OF INTERCEPT BARRIER MATERIAL FOR MILITARY

SUMMARY continued PACKAGING

INTERCEPT Characteristics / Advantages:

- Available as: Static Intercept, Static foil, and corrugated plastic.
- Multi-protection measures are combined in one flexible material to protect metal components.
- •Protection provided by sacrificial Copper, does not shed particles/outgas; no cleaning required. Onemil thick Inter-cept material can protect multimetal items for ten years; less inspections and associated labor and material costs. Non-toxic,4







SUMMARY continued

Following Tests/Analyses were conducted:

- Water Vapor Transmission Rate(WVTR) test and Material Strength tests
- Salt-fog test (with Steel, Brass, Al, Zinc coupons)
- Rough Handling tests, Compatibility tests with energetics, and Field test
- Accelerated aging for life prediction
- Cost-benefit analysis.





EVALUATION OF INTERCEPT BARRIER MATERIAL FOR MILITARY EVALUATION OF TEST RESULTS:

Material Strength Properties, WVTR test results

indicate that INTERCEPT properties are comparable to MIL-PRF-131 material.

 Salt-fog test results indicate that INTERCEPT

material and plastic-desiccant system both provide longer corrosion protection than with MIL- PRF-131 barrier material.







SALT-FOG Test Data Comparison

<><< Days of protection against corrosion

>>>>>

Metal coupon	Intercept Static	Intercept Poly	VCI-126	MIL-PRF-131
•	4-mil	4-mil	4-mil	5-mil
Steel	58	102	28	62
Aluminum	58	130	59	91
Brass	58	130	28	91
Zinc	91	97	59	77



EVALUATION OF INTERCEPT BARRIER MATERIAL FOR MILITARY PACKAGING

EVALUATION continued

- Rough handling and Field test results indicate that INTERCEPT material yield similar results as with MIL-PRF-131 material.
- INTERCEPT (static foil) material was determined <u>compatible</u> with several energetics. Must avoid using INTERCEPT with detonators having Lead Azide.
- <u>Accelerated aging</u> test results indicate acceptable level of deterioration in heat seal seam strength of several barrier materials tested.

VALUATION OF INTERCEPT BARRIER MATERIAL FOR MILITARY PACKAGING

EVALUATION continued

- Cost analysis: Cost components are Material, Labor, Miscellaneous.
- Material cost: (roll of 3 feet x 600 feet)
 MIL-PRF-131 \$ 150.00 per
 roll
 INTERCEPT (static) \$ 200.00 per
 roll
 INTERCEPT (foil) \$ 360.00 per
 roll

VALUATION OF INTERCEPT BARRIER MATERIAL FOR MILITARY PACKAGING

COST ANALYSIS continued:

- <u>Labor cost</u> is \$70.00/hour for vacuuming, bag
 sealing, cleaning of item prior to its use, disposal.
- Miscellaneous cost varies on specific packaging application. It consists of cost of additional wrap material, cleaning material, mitigating hazardous/ toxic nature of barrier material and disposal cost.



EVALUATION OF INTERCEPT BARRIER

MATERIAL FOR MILITARY PACKAGING CONCLUSIONS:

- Several alternative barrier materials/system have shown better performance in corrosion protection than MIL-PRF-131 material.
- One mil thick INTERCEPT can protect multi-metal components for ten year period against corrosion; less inspection/labor/disposal.
- Total cost of a packaging with INTERCEPT can be lowered in specific applications without compro-mising performance. Net₁ 1



INTERCEPT applications:

- Packaging of Sensitive Electronic assemblies, Circuit cards, Inter plant shipment of metallic components.
- Packaging two-cylinder engine blocks for the US Army.
- Packaging fuses and ammunitions to eliminate any out-gassing situation.

EVALUATION OF INTERCEPT BARRIER MATERIAL FOR MILITARY PACKAGING

FUTURE EFFORT:

 Generate Engineering Change Proposal (ECP) and a Performance Specification to insert Intercept in military packaging.